



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,038	05/06/2005	Michel Serpelloni	0600-1040	9708
466	7590	09/09/2008	EXAMINER	
YOUNG & THOMPSON			SASAN, ARADHANA	
209 Madison Street				
Suite 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/534,038 <b>Examiner</b> ARADHANA SASAN	SERPELLONI, MICHEL <b>Art Unit</b> 1615

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ARADHANA SASAN. (3) BOB MADSEN.  
 (2) MICHAEL WOODWARD. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 10,17 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tsukuda et al. (US 2002/0146487 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative will consider adding the phrase "excluding soyprotein" in the claim to distinguish over Tsukuda. Applicant's representative will consider removing the "consisting in" phrase from claims 17 and 20..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Aradhana Sasan/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1615

/MP WOODWARD/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615